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Persons living with serious chronic illness are psychologically vulnerable and subject to strong emotions. It is not surprising that physicians respond to these patients with emotions of their own.1,2 These emotions are many and include a need to rescue the patient, a sense of failure and frustration when the illness progresses, feelings of powerlessness against illness and its associated losses, grief, fear of becoming ill oneself, or a desire to separate from and avoid patients to escape these feelings.3-7 Although these emotions are common in the everyday practice of medicine, they can affect both the medical care that physicians provide and the well-being of physicians themselves.8,9 Here we provide a rationale for increased physician self-awareness through exploring the influence of the emotional life of physicians on patient care. We describe a model for detecting and working with physicians’ emotions that may influence medical care and illustrate it with composite and hypothetical case descriptions based on our experiences in hospital-based geriatric medicine (D.E.M. and R.S.M.), oncology (A.L.B.), and palliative medicine (all authors), as well as experiences recounted to us by colleagues.

Theoretical Rationale and the Importance of Self-awareness

The need for physician training in the conscious recognition of their emotions is based on the professional obligation to care for the sick. The patient-physician relationship is fundamentally asymmetrical.5,10,11 In the idealized professional model, the needs and interests of the patient are intended to be the sole focus of the relationship and, with the exception of appropriate reimbursement and respect for rules and boundaries (showing up for appointments, paying bills), physicians’ feelings are extraneous. If, however, physicians’ inevitable emotions are not acknowledged, there can be unintended consequences.3,12 Although psychiatrists have long recognized the importance of transfer (patients’ feelings about clinicians) and countertransfer (clinicians’ feelings about patients) and have used recognition and naming of these emotions as a therapeutic modality,12,13 most nonpsychiatrists are not trained to use identification of the emotions generated in clinical encounters as therapeutic information.3,14,15 The following case illustrates the impact of unexamined physician emotion.

Dr R prided himself on his expertise at treating pediatric leukemia. One of Dr R’s patients, Alex, was 16 years of age and had acute myelogenous leukemia. Alex was close in age to Dr R’s son, and Dr R had become quite fond of him and his family. After a year of chemotherapy and a failed bone marrow transplant, Alex died. Dr R had lost several other young patients in recent months, and Alex’s death felt like the last straw. For a few months after Alex’s death, Dr R experienced feelings of helplessness, hopelessness, and uncertainty about the purpose of his life’s work. He found it difficult...
Box 1. Potential Impact of Unexamined Physician Feelings on Patient Care and Physician Well-being

Impact on Patient Care
Poor-quality patient care
Failure to identify patient-specific and family-specific values influencing decisions
Incoherent care goals
Increased health care use and inappropriate use of life-sustaining medical technologies because of failure to engage in time-consuming decision processes, lack of clarity about care goals
Patient and family mistrust of health care system and medical profession
Avoidance leading to increased medical complications and length of hospital stay

Impact on Physicians
Professional loneliness
Loss of professional sense of meaning and mission
Loss of clarity about the ends of medicine
Cynicism, helplessness, hopelessness, frustration
Physician anger about the health system and the practice of medicine
Loss of sense of patient as a fellow human being
Increased risk of professional burnout, depression

to go to work, noticed he was irritable with his family and colleagues, and felt burdened by the needs of his patients. His confidence in his medical skill and abilities was shaken, and for the first time in his career, he wondered if he was burned out.

Dr R’s story is familiar. A patient’s death following a long illness may be experienced as a personal and professional tragedy. Dr R’s inability to cure Alex, combined with his attachment to this young patient and his family, resulted in emotions that adversely affected both Dr R and his ability to care properly for his patients.

Consequences of Unexamined Physician Emotion on Patient Care

The most visible consequence of unexamined physician emotions is compromised patient care. A small body of research has examined the consequences of physician emotion on medical care. (Box 1). Physicians’ feelings of medical ineffectiveness and strong emotion about the meaning of the diagnosis interfere with their abilities to assess human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) risk. Similarly, case studies and data suggest that requests for assisted suicide are so disturbing to some physicians that they disengage from or avoid their patients. Such reactions to expressions of suffering do little to respond to patients’ communications of distress and implicit requests for help.

Another consequence of unexamined emotion is that physicians themselves may experience chronic loss of engagement and satisfaction with work. Dr R’s case illustrates how this phenomenon can be associated with unexamined and sometimes overwhelming feelings of conflict between consciously mandated behaviors (taking care of the patient) and unconscious feelings (the care—and the physician—has failed). The consequences of unexamined emotions resulting from the care of seriously ill patients can include physician distress, disengagement, burnout, and poor judgment.

Does improving self-awareness influence care outcomes, such as better medical decision-making or reduced physician impairment? Although the available evidence is based largely on reports of experienced educators, these issues merit discussion because the impact of unexamined physician emotion on physicians and patients alike is self-evident, because it is consistent with limited data and observations of case studies, and because these issues are not part of routine medical training and are not commonly discussed among (nonpsychiatrist) physicians.

A Medical Model for Detecting and Working With Physicians’ Personal Emotions

It is both universal and normal for physicians to have feelings about their patients. Acceptance and awareness of this phenomenon are prerequisite to the self-knowledge and self-control required in a professional patient-physician relationship. Regulating the degree of emotional engagement between self and patient—not too close and not too distant—is one of the fundamental developmental tasks of physicians. Excess attachment and avoidance or disengagement are forms of abandonment of the physician’s primary mission, caring for the patient. One approach to helping physicians successfully regulate their degree of emotional attachment is to use the familiar medical model of identifying risk factors that predispose physicians to excess emotional engagement and disengagement, recognizing the signs and symptoms of emotion adversely affecting patient care, establishing a differential diagnosis, and engaging in corrective action.

Risk Factors

Certain clinical situations predispose physicians to emotions that increase the risk of overengagement or underengagement in the patient-physician relationship (Box 2). These situations may be influenced by internal factors that the physician brings to the encounter, external factors inherent in the patient or illness, or factors related to the clinical situation.

Dr P had cared for a close family friend for many years. After a years-long bout of lung cancer, her patient was hospitalized with dyspnea and renal failure. Dr P called in the best consultants she knew to care for her
friend. Several weeks into the hospitalization, the patient’s daughter complained that no one—including Dr P—was coordinating the patient’s care or talking to him about his wishes. Subsequently Dr P called for a palliative care consultation to manage her friend’s symptoms and address the goals of further medical care. The patient’s now extreme dyspnea was controlled with opioids, and as a result the patient became more alert and comfortable. He then asked that dialysis be discontinued and that he be allowed to die, saying, “I just want to go to sleep.” Dr P felt incapable of discussing this request with the patient and withdrew from day-to-day involvement with the case. Both the patient and his family were disturbed by Dr P’s absence and wondered aloud if the request to stop dialysis had angered her. After psychiatric consultation, which determined that the patient had decisional capacity and no evidence of depression, and repeated discussions with the palliative care team, the patient chose to discontinue dialysis. He died of progressive respiratory failure several weeks later.

Dr P made sure that physicians addressed each of her patient’s organ systems, but no single professional took responsibility for his overall care, in Dr P’s case because of her close personal relationship with her patient. The prospect of her patient’s death and the fear that her medical decisions might play a role in it caused Dr P to withdraw emotionally and professionally. Dr P failed to perceive the ethical and legal difference between a patient’s right to choose to stop life-sustaining treatments vs a request for a physician-assisted suicide. Her inability to address the reasons for her patient’s desire to discontinue dialysis, combined with her rapidly worsening clinical condition, only heightened the patient’s sense that there was little reason to remain alive—even his long-term friend and physician appeared to have lost interest in him.

Illness characteristics may also be risk factors. Chronic illnesses and prolonged dying may require a sustained level of attention over prolonged periods. Physicians can develop a sense of helplessness and frustration directly related to the patient’s increasing dependency and demands on the physician’s time. The patient’s unimproving health may lead the physician to feel guilty, insecure, frustrated, and inadequate. Rather than address these feelings, physicians may withdraw from patients.

Conflicts with family members or other physicians about the proper goals of medical care in the setting of a life-threatening illness may also be risk factors for disengagement.

Box 2. Risk Factors for Physician Feelings That Can Influence Patient Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physician Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician identifications with the patient: similar appearance, profession, age, character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient similar to an important person in physician’s life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician has ill family member, is recently bereaved, or has unresolved loss and grief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional sense of inadequacy or failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconscious reflection of feelings originating within and expressed by the patient or family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to tolerate high and protracted levels of ambiguity or uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of death and disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric illness such as depression or substance abuse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situational Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-standing and close patient-physician relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician has prior personal relationship with a patient (friend or family connection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician and patient/family disagree about the goals of medical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician disagreements with colleagues over patient management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicting professional obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple hospital admissions within short periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High levels of ambiguity and uncertainty about prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protracted uncertainty about medical care goals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient or family is angry or depressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient is a medical or health professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient is well known or in another special category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex or dysfunctional patient-family dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistrust caused by short-term or multiple patient-physician relationships</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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illnesses of this patient, workload, and sense of hopelessness about the patient’s outcome, he withdrew from participation in decision making and communication with the patient’s mother and the ICU team. At the same time, Mr J’s family, who had worked closely with this physician and had lived with the patients’ chronic illness, decompensations, and recoveries for years, struggled to come to terms with his fluctuating medical status and with their role as family members with the power to discontinue ventilatory support and, in their view, become the proximate cause of his death. These tensions led to mutual anger and irritation, and on the family’s part, to a sense of abandonment by the primary physician. In these instances, both family and professionals may have difficulty adjusting to changing goals of care: where once all commitments were held by the primary physician in this role, where pressures to complete insur- ance documentation detract from time that might otherwise be spent caring for patients.1,73,74

Dr C is a successful academic physician. As a result of hospital financial difficulties, he and his colleagues have been required to substantially increase their clinical activities. Dr C is becoming frustrated at his inability to write and conduct research as a result of his patient care responsibilities. He often fails to return patients’ phone calls and refers patients to the emergency department rather than seeing them himself. He is relieved when patients cancel their appointments.

The behaviors and emotions listed in Box 3 and described above could be recognized if physicians were more aware of the accompanying signs and symptoms. The sign of emotions influencing patient care in this case was the physician’s avoidance of the patient and her daughter, which signaled his mounting sense of frustration and helplessness in being asked for something he was unable to give. If this physician had been able to recognize this avoidance and its impact, he might have maintained closer involvement in his pa-

### Box 3. Physician Feelings Influencing Patient Care: Warning Signs and Symptoms

**Signs (Behaviors)**
- Avoiding the patient
- Avoiding the family
- Failing to communicate effectively with other professionals about the patient
- Dismissive or belittling remarks about patient to colleagues
- Failure to attend to details of patient care
- Physical signs of stress or tension when seeing the patient or family
- Contact with the patient more often than medically necessary

**Symptoms (Emotions)**
- Anger at the patient or family
- Feeling imposed upon or harassed by patient or family
- Feeling of contempt for patient or family
- Intrusive thoughts about patient or family
- Sense of failure or self-blame, guilt
- Feeling a personal obligation to save the patient
- Belief that complaints of distress are manipulative efforts to seek attention
- Frequently feeling victimized by the demands of the practice of medicine
tient's care and continued negotiations with Mrs K's daughter for appropriate analgesics.\textsuperscript{23,46,48,76}

Another sign of unrecognized physician emotion affecting patient care is anxiety and distress about the patient's problems and an accompanying desire to avoid engagement with the situation.

Mrs T, a 55-year-old successful lawyer, had struggled with progressive renal cell cancer for several years and was increasingly distressed by her progressive dependency and feelings of isolation. She asked her doctor for advice on ending her life, saying that she “just [couldn’t] take it any more.” Her doctor recalls feeling distressed by her request and her evident despair and illness equipped to explore the reasons for it with her. Instead, she tried to encourage her, saying that she didn’t believe in helping her patients die and that now was not the time to give up hope. “You are a fighter and I know that you want to beat this.” She closed the visit by saying, “Hang in there,” and then gave the patient a pat on the back. Mrs T went home and took an overdose of sleeping pills 1 week later.

This physician's distress about her patient's desperation and her discomfort with the request for assistance in dying prevented her from exploring the reasons for it with her. Instead, she tried to encourage her, saying that she didn’t believe in helping her patients die and that now was not the time to give up hope. “You are a fighter and I know that you want to beat this.” She closed the visit by saying, “Hang in there,” and then gave the patient a pat on the back. Mrs T went home and took an overdose of sleeping pills 1 week later.
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Ms B is a 27-year-old woman with HIV and was admitted to the hospital after candidal esophagitis was diagnosed. After 5 days in the hospital, she lapsed into a coma of unknown cause. After several weeks of extensive inpatient evaluation and increasing levels of life support, the patient’s condition stabilized, although the etiology of her continued coma remained unclear. The patient’s mother was repeatedly counseled as to the gravity of her daughter’s illness, and the physicians caring for Ms B began to recommend that life support be discontinued, a recommendation that was consistently rejected by her mother. Chart notes described the mother as angry, highly unrealistic, and in denial. However, after a diagnosis of Wernicke encephalopathy, Ms B gradually recovered cognitive and motor function and was transferred to a rehabilitation center.

Several of the physicians caring for Ms B expressed anger in their written chart notes toward the patient’s mother for what they perceived as her unrealistic hope for her daughter’s recovery. The loss of hope and sense of frustration and helplessness felt by these physicians (as well as by the patient’s mother) as they worked to care for this patient led to
decreasing tolerance for the uncertainty and ambiguity of goals associated with this case. When the physicians’ predictions of a hopeless outcome proved incorrect, this family’s sense of trust in the medical profession, already compromised, was irrevocably harmed. Looking back on the case after Ms B left the hospital, several physicians remarked that their anger seemed to reflect the rage of the patient’s mother. The fact that the same emotions expressed by patients and families may be felt and reflected by the professionals caring for them is a critical observation. Distressing feelings of sadness, anger, and helplessness in physicians may simply have their source in or mirror the understandable reactions of seriously ill patients and their families. Recognizing the source of the emotion as originating within the patient or family may help the physician to remain professionally committed and involved, despite the painful nature of the encounter.

Multiple sources and etiologies may contribute to the presence of physician emotions affecting both patient care and physician well-being. A partial list of such causes is given in Box 2. Although etiology is often complex and multifactorial, awareness of common risk factors and contributors, their manifestations in feelings and behaviors, and their impact should help physicians engage in the routine process of reflection, self-monitoring, and coping necessary for the responsible practice of medicine.

Approaches to Addressing Physician Emotions
We have presented examples of common clinical situations in which we identify a relationship between unexamined physician emotion and adverse effects on patient care. We have argued that such emotions are normal and inevitable and have a significant influence on the practice of medicine. Physician emotions need not be treated as a disorder but do need to be acknowledged and understood so that the consequences of unrecognized physician emotion can be prevented. To help physicians use a professional process of reflection, self-monitoring, and coping, we offer the following steps.

1. **Name the feeling.** Recognizing and naming the feeling is the first and most important step in controlling the effect of the physician’s emotions on the patient’s care. Although much of what occurs between physician and patient involves unconscious processes, the act of separating enough from the feeling to be able to name it may lead to restoration of conscious control over, and rational choices about, how best to care for the patient, even if the root causes of the emotion remain unknown.

2. **Accept the normalcy of the feeling.** The discomfort or guilt associated with strong emotions can inhibit regaining control over their influence on patient care. Such feelings are usually normal—it is the resulting behaviors that may be maladaptive. Accepting the feeling allows the professional to make a conscious and therefore genuine choice about how to proceed in the relationship with the patient. This step allows physicians to think about the sources of the feeling, connect behaviors toward the patient with these feelings, and make conscious the therapeutic implications, either good or bad, of these behaviors.

3. **Reflect on the emotion and its possible consequences.** Considering possible connections between emotions and behaviors is a conscious effort. It allows physicians to step back from the situation’s immediacy and gain perspective needed to decide how to best take care of the patient. This reflection process may include conscious anticipation of alternative outcomes for the patient as a result of different kinds of professional behavior.

4. **Consult a trusted colleague.** Because strong feelings are inevitable in health professionals caring for extremely ill patients, a routine and structured mechanism for their identification has been recommended by a number of medical educators. Physicians in some training programs and many hospices schedule regular meetings for reflection and feedback about emotions occasioned by the care of patients. For most physicians, however, finding a trusted colleague with whom to discuss feelings and their consequences can be useful. Talking through a difficult situation can enable physicians to confront their own emotions and still provide excellent medical care. This process can reduce isolation and help build the network of support that is necessary for complex and demanding clinical work.

This process was successfully used by Dr B, whose father’s iatrogenic renal failure interfered with his professional relationship with the responsible infectious disease specialist. The sequence of events was initiated by a patient who had repeatedly asked Dr B to telephone the specialist about his antiretroviral therapy. The patient’s irritation with Dr B’s delay in accomplishing this small task allowed Dr B to become conscious of his reluctance to make the call. Dr B realized that he was avoiding the infectious disease specialist and compromising the care of his patient because of anger about his father’s bad outcome. He discussed his...
behavior with a colleague, which allowed him to resume appropriate professional communication with the specialist.

**COMMENT**

Physicians work daily with patients and families struggling through devastating illness and loss. That such work has an emotional impact on health professionals is indisputable. Because feelings influence behavior and decisions, it is necessary for physicians to learn to identify and assess their feelings consciously. Taking a descriptive case-based approach to this syndrome of unexamined physician feelings influencing patient care, we propose a step-wise method for preventing and adjusting to adverse physician behaviors: recognizing high-risk clinical situations and risk factors, monitoring signs and symptoms, developing a differential diagnosis, and determining a practical means of responding to these emotions (FIGURE).

Our approach has limitations. Although the medical model places awareness of physician emotions into a format familiar to physicians, we do not intend to imply that emotions arising in practice are problems that need treatment to be fixed. Rather, we wish to emphasize the importance of a nonjudgmental approach to examining and examining emotions while maintaining that physician behaviors resulting from these feelings should be assessed critically. Our model does not attempt to provide guidance as to when physicians should seek professional counseling, although it is likely that unexamined and unaddressed physician emotions arising in the course of care of the seriously ill are contributors to the high rates of burnout, depression, and substance abuse reported in the medical profession.*

The foundation of our argument is that physician feelings are normal and inevitable and that these feelings influence behavior. The corollary of this observation is that it is a medical professional obligation to take responsibility for self-monitoring feelings to protect our patients (and ourselves) from the consequences of unexamined impulses. The key to successful self-monitoring is recognizing and symbolizing the feelings in words, accepting them, and reflecting on their potential consequences in a safe and confidential professional setting, such as during a conversation with a trusted colleague. This approach can enrich the experience of clinical practice and strengthen the profession’s commitment to care for patients.
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